

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,911	06/16/2005	Eric Dietschi	1325-5PCT/US	8413
Irving N Feit	7590 09/24/2007 Irving N Feit		EXAMINER	
Hoffman & Baron			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E	
6900 Jericho Tumpike Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/539,911	DIETSCHI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		William E. Tapolcai	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ling date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period wended period for reply will, by statute, r than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(SATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED date of this communication, even if timely filed,	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
·	2b)⊠ This is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro ax parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45			
Disposition of Claims	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11,13 7) Claim(s) 9,12,15 and 8) Claim(s) are s  Application Papers  9) The specification is obtained and the second and t	n(s) 23-36 and 46-54 is/are allowed.  3,14,16,17,19-22 and 37-4 18 is/are objected to ubject to restriction and/or objected to by the Examined is/are:  a) acceptant any objection to the object of the confection and or object that any objection to the object of the confection and object that any objection to the object of the confection and object of the confect of the confection and object	election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a second t	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 3744

1. Claims 23-36 and 46-54 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on August 30, 2007.

Page 2

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 13, 16, 19-22, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,140,824 to Hunt. Hunt discloses the claimed invention of the primary and secondary heat exchange systems being one inside of the other. See especially Fig. 2 and coils 24 and 38.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 14, 17, and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt. Hunt discloses the claimed invention except for the arrangement of the primary and secondary heat exchange systems. The arrangement of the primary and secondary heat exchange systems is considered to be a matter of obvious choice, as to which system is inside of the other. No criticality or unexpected

Art Unit: 3744

results are seen or have been disclosed for the recitation of the primary heat exchanger being inside the second heat exchanger. Also, the provision of the coil wound around a wall is considered to be a well known expedient to one of ordinary skill in the heat exchange art. Furthermore, the provision of the reservoir 48 located above the chamber of the secondary heat exchange system is considered to be a matter of obvious choice. Finally, the type of fluid being cooled is considered to be a matter of obvious choice, as the recited fluids are all well known.

Page 3

- 6. Claims 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,581,405 to Kim et al. Hunt discloses the claimed invention except for the chamber within a chamber. Kim et al teaches a heat exchanger for a primary and secondary system which comprises a chamber 17-1 or 17-2 located within another chamber 18-1 or 18-2. Thus, it would be obvious to modify Hunt so that the heat exchange arrangement between the primary and secondary systems is a chamber located within a chamber, in view of Kim et al, with the predictable result that an increased heat exchange surface is provided.
- 7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,216,469 to Miller. Hunt discloses the claimed invention except for the thermostat. Miller teaches that it is old to control a heat exchange system with a thermostat 45 or 47 or 50. Thus, it would be obvious to provide Hunt with a thermostat, in view of Miller, with the predictable result that the primary heat exchange system is more accurately controlled.

Art Unit: 3744

Page 4

- 8. Claims 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,916,910 to Schroeder. Hunt discloses the claimed invention except for the dispensing tap. Schroeder teaches a heat exchange system incorporating primary and secondary heat exchange systems and a dispensing tap 31, 32. Thus, it would be obvious to incorporate the heat exchange system of Hunt in a dispensing system that uses a dispensing tap, in view of Schroeder, with the predictable result that a consumable beverage is cooled.
- 9. Claims 9, 12, 15, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William E. Tapolca Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet September 14, 2007